

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/771,290 | 02/05/2004 | Chandra Mouli | M4065.0556/P556-A | 3228 |
| 24998 | 7590 06/23/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP 2101 L Street, NW | | | GUERRERO, MARIA F | |
| Washington, I | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2822 | |

DATE MAILED: 06/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|---|---|--|---|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/771,290 | MOULI ET AL. | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Maria Guerrero | 2822 | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply | ears on the cover sheet wi | | ; | | |
| WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failu Any | IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES and the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we use to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNION 36(a). In no event, however, may a rivil apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become AB | CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communi ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Ap | oril 2006. | | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> ☐ | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under E | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D | . 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | | | |
| 5) 6) 7) | Claim(s) <u>98-135</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>98-135</u> are subject to restriction and/or | vn from consideration. | | | | |
| Applicat | ion Papers | | | | | |
| 10)□ | The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the conference of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | epted or b) objected to I drawing(s) be held in abeyan on is required if the drawing(| ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1 | | | |
| Priority ι | under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| a) | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prioric application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of | s have been received. s have been received in A ity documents have been (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | pplication No received in this National Stage | • | | |
| 2) D Notic 3) D Inform | te of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date | Paper No(s | iummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date Iformal Patent Application (PTO-152) · | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: A1) a method of forming an image sensor; A2) a method of operating an image sensor. The species are independent or distinct because the forming and operating an image sensor are different embodiments.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none claims is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the

requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103 (a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maria Guerrero whose telephone number is 571-272-1837. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-5:00).

Application/Control Number: 10/771,290 Page 4

Art Unit: 2822

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Zandra Smith can be reached on 571-272-2429. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

June 20, 2006

MARIA F. GUERRERO PRIMARY EXAMINER